



.

-
•
•

.

.

-

.

.

.

	:	
1		1.1
2		2.1
3		3.1
3		4.1
	:	
5		1.2
37		.22
37		1.2.2
42		2.2.2
	:	
47		1.3
47		2.3
51		4.3
52		5.3
52		.3
53		7.3

	:	
54		1.4
71		2.4
78		3.4
80		
85		

48	()	.1
48		.2
49		.3
49		.4
50		.5
50		.6
52	.	.7
54		.8
55	(T)	.9
56	(T)	.10
57	(T)	.11
58	(T)	.12
59) (.13
60) (.14
61	LSD	.15

61	LSD	.16
62	T.test	.17
62	()	.18
63	.()	.19
64	LSD	.20
64	LSD	.21
65	T.test	.22
65) (.23
66) .(.24
67	LSD	.25

67	LSD	.26
68	T.test	.27
69	()	.28
69	.()	.29
70	LSD	.30
70	LSD	.31
71	LSD	.32
71	T.test	.33

85

89

.

2010

330

.

:

.1

.

)

.2

(

.

.3

(

)

.(

)

.(

)

:

.

.

Abstract

The Affect of aftercare for released from the drug addicts to reduce the use of drug..

Yousef AL- Barrak

Mu'tah University 2010

The present study aims at identifying the Affect of aftercare for released from the drug addicts to reduce the drug. In order to achieve this goal we build and develop a questionnaire distributed to a total sample size of 330 persons. Used appropriate statistical methods to extract the results of the study. The study comes out with results most important Among Them the following:

1. The most common form of aftercare provided to released from the drug addicts from the standpoint of personnel in mental health hospital of the regions of Hail and Qassim were religious guidance, followed by psychotherapy, and treatment of social and, eventually, cultural treatment.
2. There is a significant affect for (psychotherapy, religious counseling, cultural treatment, social treatment) of the released from the drug addicts to reduce the use of drug.
3. There is no statistically significant differences in the perceptions of respondents towards the (psychotherapy, religious counseling, cultural treatment) of the released in the reduction of drug abuse according to variables such as (age, marital status). And the existence of differences due to certain variables (educational level, number of years of experience).

The study also found a number of recommendations including: work on the training and rehabilitation of the addict released for any work available, to restore self-confidence and raising morale and killing boredom and emptiness has and enable it to cope with unemployment to be able to exercise their normal life. Provision of social benefits and financial were released from the drug addicts to enable them to cope with the burdens of life.

1.1

2.1

.

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

)

. (

3.1

·
:
)
(

.1

.2

.3

)
.(

.4

4.1

:

.1

·

() .2

.

.3

.

1.2

.

.

.(1999)

.

.(1991)

4000

.

.(1986)

(Narcotics)

(Narcotics)

"

(Psychotropics)

.(1988)

)

.(1986

.(1979)"

.(1987)

(1986)

.

.(2000)

.(1995)

(1986) "

(1998)

.

(1988)

.

.1

.2

.

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.6

.

. (. .)

.7

() ()
()

()

.(2006)

,

(Tolerance)

.(1999)

-:

.1

.2

.3

.(2000)

.4

()

.(Dependence)

,

.

-

-

()

,

/

.

,

,

:

,

.

.

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

.

.(2000)

:

:

"

"

-1

.

-2

.(1991)

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

.(1998)

-8

.(2007)

-9

.(2007)

()

-

-

.

.

.

.(2002)

:

:

.

.

.

.(2002)

:

.

.

:

:

.

.(1999) .

:

.

.

.

.

.(1999)

:

.1

2006)

.(1987

.2

:

,

,

,

.

.

:

.

.

.

1999)

.(2001

.(1997)

.

.(2005)

()

.

.

.

.(2008)

:

.1

.

.2

.

)

.3

.(2008

:

-

.(1991)

,

.(2002,) ...

-

()

()

.(2008)

.(1997 ,)

.

.(1995)

-

.(1998)

()

.

.(2005)

.(1998)

.

.(1996)

—

.

—

.(2008)

·
·
(2007)

-

·
·
(2002)

-

.

.(2002)

.

)

.(1988

.

.

.

.(2008)

.(1987)

()

)

.(1994

.(2002)

.

(1998)

(1990)

(2008)

.1

(1996)

.2

.(1985)

.(1996)

.3

.(1996)

.4

.(1985)

.

.(2008)

:

: **.1**

,

.

: **.2**

.

.

.

.

.

.

:

.3

.

.

.

.

:

.4

.

.

.

.(2008) .

. **.5**

...

.(1995)

: **.6**

.

,

.(2008)

.

:

:

,)

.(2003

) ()
 (
)
) (Arneklev,Grasmik,Tittle and Bursik 1993)
 (2003
 .
 Bursik and)
 (2003) (Arneklev,1993 Grasmik,Tittle
 , , , , , ,
 ,
 .
 Arneklev,1993Wood,)
 (2003) (Pfefferbaum
 , , , , ,
 , , ,
 ,
 .
 ()
 ()
 :

.1 :

()

.

.2 :

.

()

). .

.(2008

()

.

.(1984)

.

.(2003)

()

: ()

()

(1985) :

()

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

() .

(1991) :

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

:

.

:

-

-

.

.4

.

.5

.

:	.6
.	.7
.	.8
.	

()

. .(1995) :	.1
.	.2
:	
.	
()	
:	.1
.	.2
.	.3
.	.4
.	

.5

.

.6

.

.7

.

()

.

.

.

()

) ()
.(2008

(Sutherland & Cresy)

:

.1924

.

.

.(Sutherland & Cresy, 1978)

•

.(Williams, 1991)

: .1

•

)

•

•

.2

(

.

•

•

.3

•

•

.4

•

2.2

1.2.2

: (2008)

(200)

:

.1

(35)

:

.2

.3

()

.4

.5

()

.6

: (2006)

.

.

67

.

.

:

.1

.%73.4

.2

.%75.4

.3

.%79.9

.4

.%74.5

: (2005)

170

42

:

.

.

: (2003)
)

(

.

.

.

:

.

.()

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.
 .
 : (2003)
)
 (

.
 .
 .
 .

.
 .
 .
 :
 () (18 - 16)
 ()

(7)
 ()
 ()
 ()
) ()

() (

.
:
(2002)
)

.

(104)

.

.

: (1998)

50

.

:

.

: .2.2.2

: (Kathleen, 2005)

24

Brown& O'Grady&)

: : (Battjes& Elizabeth, 2004

4 (CAI)

/ (1) :

(4) (3) (2)

241

0.88-0.79

(CAI)

. TCU

CAI

Berman& Lundberg&)

: (Krook& Gyllenhamar, 2004

18

163

14

： (Masatake, 2001)

.1355

913

Pelissier& Wallace& O'Neil& Gaes& Camp& Rhodes& Saylor,)

: (2001

.

760

.

809

20

30

.

.

6

.

20

.

ChanhataSlipa&)

: (MacKenzie& Hickman, 2000

15

.

1997

.

.

.

:(Swadi, 1996)

1832

12

685

%33

ما يميز الدراسة الحالية

(2008)

.(2006)

.(2005)

)

(2003)

.(2003

(1998) .

Brown&)

: (O'Grady& Battjes& Elizabeth, 2004

Berman& Lundberg& Krook&)

(Gyllenhamar, 2004

(Masatake, 2001) .

Pelissier& Wallace&)

(O'Neil& Gaes& Camp& Rhodes& Saylor, 2001

(Chanhataslipa& MacKenzie& Hickman, 2000)

(Swadi, 1996)

)

(

.

1.3

.

2.3

(100)

(350)

(250)

.

(350)

)

330

%97.1

340

.(

%94.3

.

:

(1)

.()

%		
20.3	67	25
60.3	199	35-26
13.0	43	45-36
6.4	21	46
100.0	330	

35-26

%60.3

45-36

%20.3

25

%.6.4

46

%13.0

(2)

%	
2.1	7
45.5	150
37.6	124
14.8	49
100.0	330

%37.6

%45.5

%14.8

%.2.1

(3)

%		
35.8	118	5
28.2	93	10-6
25.2	83	15-11
10.9	36	16
100.0	330	

5

-6 %35.8

%25.2 15-11 %28.2 10

.%10.9 16

(4)

%		
20.3	67	
79.4	262	
0.3	1	()
100.0	330	

%79.4

%20.3

%0.3

(5)

%		
27.3	90	(
72.7	240	
100.0	330	

%72.7

%27.3

(6)

%	
11.5	38
10.6	35
17.6	58
32.1	106
3.0	10
7.9	26
3.0	10
5.8	19
0.6	2
1.5	5
3.9	13
1.8	6
0.6	2
100.0	330

%32.1
 %17.6
 %10.6
 %11.5
 %7.9
 %3.9
 %5.8
 %3.0
 %1.8
 %1.5
 %0.6

.

3.3

:

.

:

)

.(

:

33

:

.(9-1)

:

.1

.(17-10)

:

.2

.(26-18)

:

.3

.(33-27)

:

.4

4.3

)

(5)

(

5.3

Cronbach's)

(Alpha

(7)

<hr/>	
<hr/>	
0.790	9-1
0.810	17-10
0.854	26-18
0.775	33-27
<hr/>	
0.926	33-1
<hr/>	

6.3

:(SPSS. V.15)

(Descriptive statistic Measures)

-1

One Sample)

-2

)

(T.test

(

(ANOVA)

-3

1.4

:

.

:

(2.49)
(3.5)

(3.49-2.5)

(3.5)

(3.49-2.5)

:

.

(2.49)

(8)

	0.412	3.63
	0.483	3.73
	0.642	3.10
	0.489	3.58

3.73

0.483

0.412 3.63

0.489 3.58

0.642 3.10

:

:

(One Sample T.test)

(Test Value= 3)

:

:

(9)

(T)

T				
0.000	8.566	0.98324	3.4636	1
0.000	19.714	0.67296	3.7303	2
0.000	21.961	0.60911	3.7364	3
0.000	15.252	0.66047	3.5545	4
0.000	22.189	0.65743	3.8030	5
0.000	16.208	0.64871	3.5788	6
0.000	17.995	0.66994	3.6636	7

0.000	17.943	0.61972	3.6121	8
0.000	17.769	0.62890	3.6152	9
0.000	28.154	0.41278	3.6397	-

28.154 ()

$(0.01 \geq \alpha)$

$(0.000 = \alpha)$

$(0.01 \geq \alpha)$

(3)

(10)

(T)

T				
0.000	18.207	0.71957	3.7212	10
0.000	22.255	0.72226	3.8848	11
0.000	24.947	0.61344	3.8424	12
0.000	25.149	0.69169	3.9576	13
0.000	12.654	0.89179	3.6212	14
0.000	9.534	0.88920	3.4667	15
0.000	19.996	0.67999	3.7485	16
0.000	17.345	0.68554	3.6545	17
0.000	27.694	0.48351	3.7371	-

	()	
$\geq \alpha$)	(0.000 = α)	27.694
$\geq \alpha$)		(0.01
		(0.01

(3)

(11)

(T)

T				
0.370	1.007	1.05524	2.5212	18
0.000	26.038	0.65645	3.5909	19
0.000	19.841	0.80321	3.5273	20
0.363	0.910	1.17958	2.5909	21
0.607	0.514	1.23047	2.6152	22
0.000	4.923	1.11255	2.9515	23
0.000	28.262	0.65154	3.6636	24
0.000	26.295	0.67724	3.6303	25
0.000	4.595	0.91657	2.8818	26
0.000	12.946	0.64279	3.1081	-

()

$\geq \alpha$) (0.000 = α) 12.946
 $\geq \alpha$) (0.01
(22 21 18) (0.01

(3)

(12)

(T)

T				
0.000	17.607	0.80975	3.7848	27
0.827	1.827	1.11755	2.7030	28
0.000	14.467	0.82570	3.6576	29
0.000	20.501	0.65248	3.7364	30
0.000	22.330	0.59905	3.7364	31
0.000	19.655	0.64975	3.7030	32
0.000	22.298	0.63199	3.7758	33
0.000	21.709	0.48976	3.5853	-

()

(0.000 = α) 21.709
(0.01 $\geq \alpha$)
(28) (0.01 $\geq \alpha$)

(3)

.

:

)

(

-

)

.(

(13)

)

.(

44004.	3.6965	25	()
41846.	3.6399	35-26	
41219.	3.5556	45-36	
21754.	3.6296	46	
56187.	4.3016		
43788.	3.6467		
35286.	3.6183		
38086.	3.5782		
43306.	3.8296	5	
28067.	3.5054	10-6	()
43367.	3.5154	15-11	
34748.	3.6512	16	
43339.	3.7114		
40824.	3.6246		
13981.	3.4167		

:

(14)

)

.(

F				
0.383	1.023	0.174	3	0.523
		0.170	326	55.534
			329	56.057
0.000	*6.832	1.105	3	3.316
		162.	326	52.741
			329	56.057
0.000	*16.063	2.406	3	7.219
		0.150	326	48.838
			329	56.057
0.171	1.777	0.301	2	603.
		0.170	327	55.454
			329	56.057
.(0.05 ≥ α)				
*				

*

()

(F)

)

.(0.05 ≥ α)

(0.05 ≥ α)

()

(

LSD

)

5 (

(16 15) .(16 15-11 10-6)

:

(15)

LSD

.

*0.72336	*0.68331	*0.65492	-	4.3016
0.06844	0.02839	-	-	3.6467
0.04005	-	-	-	3.6183
-	-	-	-	3.5782

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(16)

LSD

.

16	15-11	10-6	5	
*0.17833	*0.31417	*0.32419	-	3.8296
0.14586-	0.01002-	-	-	3.5054
0.13584-	-	-	-	3.5154
-	-	-	-	3.6512

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

T.test

$$\begin{aligned} & .(0.003 = \alpha) & () \\ & & (0.01 \geq \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

.(

.()

49161.	3.7444	25	()
49188.	3.7525	35-26	
49875.	3.7151	45-36	
33285.	3.6131	46	
70973.	4.0893		
52218.	3.7700		
42987.	3.7329		
42179.	3.5969		
57589.	3.9333	5	
34960.	3.6331	10-6	()
45068.	3.6220	15-11	
29953.	3.6285	16	
47540.	3.7407		
48739.	3.7403		
37326.	3.4688		

:

(19)

)

.(

F				
0.642	0.560	0.132	3	0.395
		0.235	326	76.519
			329	76.914
0.035	*2.894	0.665	3	1.995
		0.230	326	74.919
			329	76.914
0.000	*11.003	2.357	3	7.072
		214.	326	69.842
			329	76.914
0.537	0.622	0.146	2	292.
		0.234	327	76.622
			329	76.914
.(0.05 ≥ α)				
*				

*

()

(F)

)

.(0.05 ≥ α)

(0.05 ≥ α)

()

(

LSD

()

5

: .(16 15-11 10-6)
(20)

LSD

.

*0.49235	0.35642	0.31929	-	4.0893
*0.17306	0.03714	-	-	3.7700
0.13592	-	-	-	3.7329
-	-	-	-	3.5969

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(21)

LSD

.

16	15-11	10-6	5	
*0.30479	*0.31127	*0.30020	-	3.9333
0.00459	0.01108	-	-	3.6331
0.00648-	-	-	-	3.6220
-	-	-	-	3.6285

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(22)

T.test

.			
T			
0.003	*3.017	0.50552	3.8667
		0.46687	3.6885
.(0.05 ≥ α)			
*			

.(0.003 = α)

()

(0.01 ≥ α)

.

-

)

.(

(23)

.()

69235.	3.2305	25	()
65515.	3.1089	35-26	
56634.	3.0155	45-36	
42150.	2.8995	46	
71063.	4.0317		
69439.	3.1533		
57635.	3.1039		
47014.	2.8481		
71416.	3.3258	5	
55522.	2.9450	10-6	()
57403.	3.0308	15-11	
58188.	2.9938	16	
64780.	3.2620		
64055.	3.0699		
35136.	3.0000		

:

(24)

)

.(

F				
0.136	1.859	0.762	3	2.287
		0.410	326	133.648
			329	135.935
0.000	*8.252	3.198	3	9.594
		0.388	326	126.341
			329	135.935
0.000	*7.733	3.010	3	9.031
		0.389	326	126.904
			329	135.935
0.087	2.456	1.006	2	2.012
		0.410	327	133.924
			329	135.935
.(0.05 ≥ α)				
*				

()

(F)

.(0.05 ≥ α)

)

()

(

LSD

(0.05 ≥ α)

)

(

5

: .(16 15-11 10-6)

(25)

LSD

.

*1.18367	*0.92780	*0.87841	-	4.0317
*0.30526	0.04939	-	-	3.1533
*0.25587	-	-	-	3.1039
-	-	-	-	2.8481
.(0.05 ≥ α)				

*

(26)

LSD

.

16	15-11	10-6	5	
*0.33197	*0.29501	*0.38076	-	3.3258
0.04879-	0.08575-	-	-	2.9450
0.03696	-	-	-	3.0308
-	-	-	-	2.9938
.(0.05 ≥ α)				

*

T.test

$$(0.01 \geq \alpha)$$

.(

)

:

(29)

)

.(

F				
0.001	*5.330	1.230	3	3.690
		0.231	326	75.226
			329	78.916
0.000	*9.244	2.062	3	6.187
		0.223	326	72.729
			329	78.916
0.000	*13.436	2.895	3	8.684
		0.215	326	70.232
			329	78.916
0.126	2.088	0.498	2	0.995
		0.238	327	77.921
			329	78.916
.(0.05 ≥ α)				
*				

()

(F)

)

.(0.05 ≥ α)

()

(

LSD

(0.05 ≥ α)

45-36)

(35-26

25)

.(46

()

5

.(16 15-11 10-6)

:

(30)

LSD

	45-36	35-26	25		
46					
*0.38776	*0.28904	0.11055	-	3.7143	25
*0.27720	*0.17848	-	-	3.6037	35-26
0.09872	-	-	-	3.4252	45-36
-	-	-	-	3.3265	46

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(31)

LSD

*0.90379	*0.62278	*0.64109	-	4.2449	
*0.26270	0.01831-	-	-	3.6038	
*0.28101	-	-	-	3.6221	
-	-	-	-	3.3411	

.(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(32)

LSD

.					
16	15-11	10-6	5		
0.33044	0.34311	0.33723	-	3.8027	5
0.00678-	0.00589	-	-	3.4654	10-6
0.01267-	-	-	-	3.4596	15-11
-	-	-	-	3.4722	16
.(0.05 ≥ α)					*

(33)

T.test

.			
T			
0.128	1.527	0.45431	3.6524
		0.50100	3.5601
.(0.05 ≥ α)			*

()

≥ α)

(0.128 = α)

.(0.05

2.4

:

.

.

.

.

()

Sutherland &)

.

(Cresy

Berman& Lundberg& Krook&)

(Gyllenhamar, 2004

(2005)

ChanhataSlipa& MacKenzie&)

(Hickman, 2000

(2005) ()

.
:
(1998)

Brown& O'Grady&)

(Battjes& Elizabeth, 2004

(Masatake, 2001)

Pelissier& Wallace& O'Neil& Gaes& Camp&)

(Rhodes& Saylor, 2001

()

.
()
)

(

16 15-11 10-6)

5

(

.

.

.

()

)

.

()

(

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

()

.

(16 15-11 10-6)

5

.

.

.

()

.

()
)

(

.

.(16 15-11 10-6)

5

.

(2005)

.

()

)

(35-26 25)

.

(

.(46 45-36)

()

.

16 15-11 10-6)

5

.(

.

.

(2005)

.

. 3.4

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

1 . (1994)
: (1999)
(1995)
2 (2003)
(1991)
(2006)
:
.(2006/7/12-10)
,(1997) ,
(2002)
(1995)
(2002)
70
(2007)

1 (1998)

.

(1988)

.

(2008)

:

/http://www.barasy.com

(1999)

.13 152

(2001)

.

(1984)

.

(1985)

(1979)

.

(2008)

.

2 (1998)

(2003)

.

(1997)

(2005)

(1985)

(1990)

1 . 1 . : (1987)
1 (2002)

(2003)

()

(1991)

(2006)

1 (2000)

(2002)

1 (1987)

: (1988)

(1998)

(1996)

.

) (2003)

(

.

(2008)

.

(2007)

.

— :

(1991)

-4

.15

1991 10

:

(1986)

.

(1999)

.

(2008)

.

(1996)

.

(2008)

.

(2008)

.

- Berman, U. Lundberg, A. I. Krook & C. Gyllenhamar (2004), Drug treatment and rehabilitation in prison settings, **Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment**, V(26), (2) pp. 95-102.
- Brown, Barry S; O'Grady, Kevin E; Battjes, Robert J; Katz, Elizabeth C. (2004), The Community Assessment Inventory--client views of supports to drug abuse treatment, **Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment**, V(27), no (3) pp: 241-251.
- Chanhatastipa, D. L. MacKenzie & L. J. Hickman (2000), The effectiveness of community-based programs for chemically dependent offenders: a review and assessment of the research, **Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment**, V(19), no (4) pp. 383-393.
- Kathleen, B, (2005), **Resource For Dropout From Drug Abuse Treatment Symptoms Personality and Motivation**, Addictive Behaviors, V(31), Issue 1.
- Masatake, S, (2001), Study of Regional Program On Aftercare of Drug Dependency and Addicts, **Journal of Yakubutsu Izon**, v(2), no(3), PP: 17-26.
- Pelissier, S. Wallace, J. A. O'Neil, G. G. Gaes, S. Camp, W. Rhodes & W. Saylor, (2001), Federal prison residential drug treatment reduces substance use and arrests after release American, **Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse**, V(27), no(2) pp. 315-377.
- Sutherland, E., & Cressey, D., (1978), **Criminology** Tethed, Philadelphia, J.B Lippincott co.
- Swadi, H, (1996), Psychiatric Symptoms Who Abuse Volatile Substances, **In Addiction Research**, Vol (4), No(1)
- Williams, K., (1991), **Text Book on Criminology**, London; Blackstone Press Limited

()



:

.

.

.

:

(X)

:

35-26	<input type="checkbox"/>	25	<input type="checkbox"/>	.1
46	<input type="checkbox"/>	45-36	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	.2
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
10-6	<input type="checkbox"/>	5	<input type="checkbox"/>	.3
16	<input type="checkbox"/>	15-11	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	.4
			<input type="checkbox"/>	
.....				.5
.....				.6

: (x) :

						-
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8
						9
						10
						11
						12
						13
						14
						15
						16

						17
						18
						19
						20
						21
						22
						23
						24
						25
						26
						27
						28
						29
						30
						31
						32
						33

()

	.	1
	.	2
	.	3
		4
		5